	100		iace					
	1	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Mark A. Flagel (Bar No. 110635)	FILED					
	2	Yury Kapgan (Bar No. 218366) 355 South Grand Avenue	E to Common Comm					
	3	Los Angeles, California 90071-1560	2008 DEC 19 P 4 19 () 9					
•	4	Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763						
	. 5		RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK					
	6	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP David A. Nelson, pro hac vice pending	U.S. DISTRICT COURT NO. DIST. OF CA. S. J.					
	7	Jennifer Bauer, pro hac vice pending						
		5800 Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606						
. *	8	Telephone: (312) 876-7700 Facsimile (312) 993-9767						
	9	Facsimile (312) 993-9767						
	10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Symantec Corporation						
	11	Symantec Corporation						
	12	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT					
	13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
	14(SAN JOSE DIVISION						
	15	SYMANTEC CORPORATION,	NAA APRON					
	16	a Delaware Corporation,	C08 05687					
	17	Plaintiff,	Case No					
	18	v.	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT					
	19	CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC. a Texas Corporation) JOBGWENT)					
	20	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
	21							
	22							
	23	COMPLAINT						
	24	Plaintiff Symantec Corporation ("Symantec") hereby pleads the following claims						
	25	for Declaratory Judgment against Defendant Crossroads Systems, Inc. ("Crossroads"), and						
	26	alleges as follows:						
	27							
	28							
LATHAM & WA	LAW	COMPLAINT FOR DECLATORY JUDGMENT						
LOS ANGELS	F	AXED						

	· ·						
1	<u>PARTIES</u>						
2	1. Plaintiff Symantec is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of						
3	business at 20330 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Cupertino, California 95014-2132.						
4	2. On information and belief, Defendant Crossroads is a Texas Corporation with						
5	its principal place of business at 11000 MoPac Expressway, Austin, Texas, 78759.						
6	JURISDICTION AND VENUE						
7	3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and the matter						
8	pleaded herein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because the action arises under the Federal						
9	Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq., and the Patent Act of the United States, 35						
10	U.S.C. § 1, et seq.						
11	4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District						
12	of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) in that a substantial part of the acts giving rise						
13	to the claim occurred in this District, and Crossroads is subject to personal jurisdiction in this						
14	District.						
15	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT						
16	5. This action for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of						
17	patents is assigned on a district-wide basis under Civil L.R. 3-2(c).						
8	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS						
9	6. This action involves U.S. Patent No. 5,941,972 ("the '972 patent") attached						
20	hereto as Exhibit A, U.S. Patent No. 6,425,035 ("the '035 patent"), attached hereto as Exhibit B,						
21	U.S. Patent No. 6,421,753 ("the '753 patent"), attached hereto as Exhibit C, U.S. Patent No.						
22	6,763,419 ("the '419 patent"), attached hereto as Exhibit D, U.S. Patent No. 6,738,854 ("the '854						
23	patent"), attached hereto as Exhibit E, U.S. Patent No. 6,789,152 ("the '152 patent"), attached						
24	hereto as Exhibit F, and U.S. Patent No. 7,051,147 ("the '147 patent"), attached hereto as Exhibit						
25	G (collectively "the patents-in-suit"). The '035, '753, '419, '854, '152 and '147 patents all claim						
6	priority to the '972 patent.						
7	7. On August 26, 2004, Crossroads sent a letter to Veritas Software Corporation						
8	("Veritas") offering Veritas a license to the '972 and '035 patents in exchange, in part, for "a						

royalty rate as a percentage of the net sales of [Veritas] products covered by the '972 or '035 Patents."

- 8. Veritas requested Crossroads to provide Veritas with the basis for Crossroads' assertions that any of the products or offerings of Veritas were covered by any claims of the '972 and/or '035 patents. Crossroads indicated that it could not provide such information to Veritas without a non-disclosure agreement in place. The parties discussed the non-disclosure agreement for a short period, but did not ultimately reach such an agreement. Veritas again requested Crossroads' basis for its claims. But the basis was never provided and the parties had no further communication after the first quarter of 2005 until Crossroads suddenly reappeared in December of 2008. In 2005, Symantec acquired Veritas.
- 9. On December 12, 2008, Crossroads sent a letter to Symantec offering a license to the patents-in-suit for "any/all products, potentially including the various storage foundation products acquired from Veritas" in exchange, in part, for "a running royalty on the net sales of products using the patented access controls feature."
- 10. Upon information and belief, Crossroads contends that one or more of Symantec's products infringe one or more claims of the patents-in-suit and that those claims are valid, although it still has provided Symantec with no basis for such contentions.
- 11. Symantec denies that any of its products infringe any claim of the patents-insuit, and also denies that the patents-in-suit are valid.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Declaratory Relief Regarding Non-Infringement

- 12. Symantec incorporates herein the allegations of paragraphs 1-11.
- 13. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Plaintiff Symantec and Defendant Crossroads as to the non-infringement of the patents-in-suit, which is evidenced by Crossroads' allegations that Veritas' products, later acquired by Symantec, as well as other Symantec products infringe valid claims of the patents-in-suit, and Symantec's allegations herein.

	4
1	14. Pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq.,
2	Symantec requests the declaration of the Court that Symantec does not infringe and has not
3	infringed any claim of the patents-in-suit.
4	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
5	Declaratory Relief Regarding Invalidity
6	15. Symantec incorporates herein the allegations of paragraphs 1-11.
7	16. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Plaintiff Symantec and
8	Defendant Crossroads as to the invalidity of the patents-in-suit, which is evidenced by
9	Crossroads' allegations that Veritas' products, later acquired by Symantec, as well as other
10	Symantec products infringe valid claims of the patents-in-suit, and Symantec's allegations
11	herein.
12	17. Pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq.,
13	Symantec requests the declaration of the Court that the patents-in-suit are invalid under the
14	Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§ 41 et seq., including but not limited to sections 102, 103, and 112.
15	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
16	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Symantec respectfully requests that the Court enter
17	declaratory judgment as follows:
18	1. That Symantec does not infringe and has not infringed, directly or indirectly,
19	any of the patents-in-suit;
20	2. That the patents-in-suit are invalid;
21	3. That Crossroads, and all persons acting on its behalf or in concert with it, be
22	permanently enjoined and restrained from charging, orally or in writing, that any of the patents-
23	in-suit is infringed by Symantec, directly or indirectly;
24	4. That Symantec be awarded its costs, expenses and reasonable attorney fees in
25	this action; and
26	5. That Symantec be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem
27	appropriate.
28	

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff Symantec respectfully demands a jury trial in this action. Dated: December 19, 2008 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP SYMANTEC CORPORATION

LATHAM & WATKINS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

COMPLAINT.FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

YIII. RE	EQUESTED IN OMPLAINT: LATED CASE(S) ANY	UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	TO CIVIL L.R. 3-1	12 CON	CERNING REQUIREME	NT TO FILE	AND: Yes] No
VII. RE	COUESTED IN			,,, ,	CENTRAL P		only if demanded in	compiaint:
VI. CA	USE OF ACTION	35 U.S.C. § 1, et se Brief description of ca	q. ause: ent of Invalidity an	d Non-	ing (Do not cite jurisdiction of U.S. Patement of U.S. Pa		y):	
V. OR	(Court Appell	late Court	Reinstat Reopen	ed (specify)	ct 6 Multidistrict Litigation	Appeal to D 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
(E 153 Re of 160 Ste of 190 Ott 195 Co 196 Fra RE 220 Fe 220 Fe 230 R 245 Te 245 Te 0 Ste of 196 Te 0 Ste of 1	ixel. Veterans) scovery of Overpayment Veteran's Benefits ookholders' Suits her Contract nurract Product Liability anchise CAL PROPERTY and Condemnation oreclosure ent Lease & Ejectment outs to Land out Product Liability Il Other Real Property	340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 3560 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL PROPI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendi 380 Other Persona Property Dams 385 Property Dams Product Liabil PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vac Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & C 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	ing I. age Lage Lage Lage Lage Lage Lage Lage	Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus — Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Traces (U.S. Plaintiff of Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Sclective Servi 850 Securities/Com Exchange 875 Customer Chal 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory 891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stab 893 Environmental 894 Energy Allocat 895 Freedom of Inf Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal A to Justice 950 Constitutionalit State Statutes	lenge / Actions cts lilization Act Matters fon Act formation
110 Itu 120 M 130 M 140 No 150 Re & 151 M	CONTRACT surance	PERSONAL INJURY B10 Airplane B15 Airplane Product Liability B20 Assault, Libel & Slander G30 Federal Employers' Liability	PERSONAL INJ 362 Personal Injur Med. Malprac 365 Personal Injur Product Liabi 368 Asbestos Pers Injury Product Liability	URY y— ctice y — lity onal t	FORFEITURE/PENALT 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 88 630 Liquor Laws 640 R. R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	OTHER STAT 400 State Reapport 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Ban 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Rscketeer Infl. Corrupt Organ 480 Consumer Crev	ionment iking uenced and izations
	J.S. Government X Plaintiff J.S. Government Defendant	CTION (Place an "X" in O 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	lot a Party)	Cit Cit	ITIZENSHIP OF PR (For Diversity Cases Only PTI tizen of This State	•	and One Box for Defend PTF ipal Place 4 his State 5	
LAT Los /	c Flagel, David Nelso HAM & WATKINS Angeles, CA 90071-1	ne, Address, and Telephons on, Yury Kapgan & Jenn LLP, 355 South Grand A 560, Tel: (213) 485-123	ifer Bauer Avenue 44		Attorneys (If Known)	056	87	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Travis county, Texas (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
Symantec Corporation					Crossroads Systems	, Inc.	•	-
I. (a)	docket sheet. (SEE INS	n, approved by the Judicial STRUCTIONS ON PAGE T	WO OF THE FORM	.)	DEFENDANTS		- Court for the purpos	e of initiating



CM-010 Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

Auto Tort

Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil

narassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15) CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract*

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief from Late Claim

Other Civil Petition